

# Novanet: Alternative Approaches to Consortial DDA

David Swords

*January 30, 2014*

# Novanet's Limited-Loan DDA

- Invented by Novanet; now in use by 3 other consortia
- No multiplier; consortial access for unowned and owned books
- Almost 60 publishers, including Cambridge, Oxford, Wiley, Taylor & Francis, Springer
- 19,985 total titles (Visible + Owned)
- 19,777 uses (includes browses)
- 9,927 loans of unowned books
- 46 Owned titles; one second copy
- 795 loans of owned books

# Novanet's Expenditures

- Total Cost = \$59,958.53; Value of Books in Program = \$1,598,800 (estimate at \$80/title)
- Cost of STLs = \$55,755.31
- Cost of Purchases = \$4,263.81
- Cost per use = \$3.00 (Browses + Transactions)
- Cost per transaction (owned + unowned loans) = \$5.59
- Cost per STL = \$5.62
- Cost per Owned Loan = \$5.36

# Novanet DDA

- Novanet has been at the forefront of consortia.
- Idea: Suppose consortial DDA is less about choice of the right model and more about using the consortium to *fill an important gap in library holdings*.
- Here are ideas for how Novanet can continue to pioneer the way for consortial DDA.

# ACSS (Act Collectively, Spend Separately)

## The Act Collectively, Spend Separately (ACSS) Model

- CTW (Connecticut, Trinity, Wesleyan)
- The Five Colleges (Amherst, Hampshire, Mount Holyoke, Smith, U Mass Amherst)
  - 141,483 titles
  - De-Duped Against Academic Complete
  - FTE = 35,000
  - Budget = \$220,000
- Fenway Libraries Online
  - 85,901 titles
  - FTE = 28,000
  - Budget = \$50,000/6 mos

# Expensive-Book DDA

- Individual libraries cap the price of books they are willing to allow in DDA, avoiding most expensive titles.
- Often the cap is \$150
- EBL's database has 18,818 titles that cost more than \$300
- 91,885 that cost from \$150-\$300 (see Table below).
- All are typically excluded from approval and from DDA programs.



# EXPENSIVE-BOOK DDA

| <b>Books in Various Price Ranges</b>    |               |              |              |              |              |             |               |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| LCC5                                    | Under \$150   | \$151-\$200  | \$201-\$300  | \$301-\$500  | \$501-\$1000 | over \$1000 | Grand Total   |
| Agriculture(Class S)                    | 3588          | 576          | 625          | 371          | 32           | 3           | 5195          |
| Bibliography, Lib Science, Info Resourc | 1549          | 306          | 159          | 79           | 23           |             | 2116          |
| Education(Class L)                      | 8827          | 1562         | 2202         | 334          | 59           | 4           | 12988         |
| Fine Arts(Classes M,N;TR,TT)            | 9726          | 660          | 837          | 166          | 39           | 4           | 11432         |
| Gen Works(Class A Exclude)              | 312           | 52           | 55           | 16           | 1            | 3           | 439           |
| History(Classes C,D,E,F)                | 22471         | 1764         | 2247         | 505          | 145          | 10          | 27142         |
| Lang and Lit(Class P)                   | 31938         | 4944         | 2581         | 795          | 287          | 8           | 40553         |
| Law(Class K)                            | 9473          | 1495         | 1586         | 502          | 128          | 21          | 13205         |
| Math and C Science(QA)                  | 12070         | 1614         | 1095         | 620          | 73           | 8           | 15480         |
| Medicine(Class R,QM, excl.RT)           | 22281         | 3602         | 3217         | 1511         | 316          | 37          | 30964         |
| Military Sciences(Classes U,V)          | 2207          | 158          | 412          | 43           | 18           |             | 2838          |
| Nursing(RT)                             | 715           | 36           | 46           | 5            | 1            | 1           | 804           |
| Philosophy(B,BC,BD,BH,BJ)               | 5747          | 1011         | 698          | 224          | 51           | 7           | 7738          |
| Political Science(Class J)              | 7722          | 966          | 1728         | 259          | 34           | 3           | 10712         |
| Psychology(BF)                          | 5635          | 729          | 534          | 144          | 59           | 6           | 7107          |
| Religion(BL-BX)                         | 12251         | 1506         | 998          | 265          | 81           | 4           | 15105         |
| Science(Class Q excl QA,QM)             | 14363         | 4685         | 4478         | 4643         | 637          | 109         | 28915         |
| Social Sciences(Classes G,H)            | 64357         | 7499         | 8740         | 2616         | 302          | 47          | 83561         |
| Technology/Engineering(Class T; excl.   | 18022         | 3844         | 3800         | 2513         | 588          | 57          | 28824         |
| <b>Grand Total</b>                      | <b>253254</b> | <b>37009</b> | <b>36038</b> | <b>15611</b> | <b>2874</b>  | <b>332</b>  | <b>345118</b> |

# Expensive-Book DDA

- These higher priced books could be excellent for consortial DDA
- Publishers price titles high when they expect low sales.
- Higher Price tend to be in science and other fields where researchers mostly use journals and databases
- Books will be interesting to relatively few researchers.
- Libraries do not buy or make available unless specific local request/need.
- But when used, serious researchers need them.



# More Careful Selection

- All libraries keep access by way of STLs when any one library owns a particular book.
- Individual libraries consider DDA programs aimed at less-expensive titles and that fit their particular curricula.
- Requires no addition to monograph budgets but reallocates some portion to DDA.

# Expensive-Book DDA

- All books from all publishers in EBL's database that meet your criteria would be available. We would not need publisher permission.
- Un-owned books available to every patron of your libraries as short-term loans.
- Relatively few books attract enough use to be bought.
- Overall you buy fewer books, especially as a percentage of the available titles.

# Expensive-Book DDA

- Overall result would be to make tens of thousands more books available.
- No increase in expenditures.
- Consortium relieves the inability of individual libraries to support purchase of expensive titles.
- Libraries satisfy important constituents, mostly research faculty and graduate students, more of the time.

# ACSS or Expensive-Book DDA

- Both overcome the need for publisher permission
- Overcome the high-cost of owning books in a multiplier model and the relatively low use the books see.
- Point the way for consortium to use DDA as a tool to address gaps libraries lack the resources to manage on their own.

# Novanet DDA

QUESTIONS??

THANK YOU